Quantum locking of mirrors in interferometers
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We show that quantum noise in very sensitive interferometric measurements such as gravitational-wave detectors can be drastically modified by quantum feedback. We present a new scheme based on active control to lock the motion of a mirror to a reference mirror at the quantum level. This simple technique allows one to reduce quantum effects of radiation pressure and to greatly enhance the sensitivity of the detection.
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Quantum noise of light plays an important role in the sensitivity limits of optical measurements such as gravitational-wave interferometers. A gravitational wave is detected as a phase difference between the two optical arms of a Michelson interferometer. The detection is limited by two fundamental noises, the phase noise of the laser beam which leads to an error in the measurement of the arm’s length, and the intensity noise which induces displacements of the mirrors via radiation pressure. Both noises are conjugate and the sensitivity at a given frequency can be optimized by choosing the light intensity so that phase and radiation-pressure noises are equal.

Since the mechanical response of a mirror depends on frequency, its sensitivity to radiation pressure is also frequency dependent. For a suspended mirror the mechanical response decreases with frequency and radiation-pressure noise is dominant at low frequency, whereas phase noise is dominant at high frequency. Optimization of both noises is then obtained at only one frequency. This behavior can be changed by using non classical states of light at the expense of a larger complexity of the system in order to generate and to adapt squeezed states to the interferometer.

We present in this paper a new technique to increase the sensitivity in interferometers, based on a reduction of radiation-pressure noise by active control of the mirror motion. This alternative approach does not rely on the use of non classical state of light and has little impact on the system complexity.

Active control can indeed efficiently reduce classical noise, as, for example, thermal fluctuations in cold-damped mechanical systems, but also the quantum back-action noise of a measurement. A cold-damping technique has been implemented in optomechanical systems to reduce the thermal motion of a mirror, and it can in principle be used in a quantum regime to cool the mirror temperature down to zero.

We show that a feedback control can lock a mirror with respect to the position of a less noisy reference mirror, thus reducing the radiation-pressure noise in the interferometer. This quantum locking increases the sensitivity bandwidth at low frequency without degrading performances at high frequency where phase noise is dominant.

The basic setup is shown in Fig. 1. The interferometric measurement is made by a single Fabry-Perot cavity which can be considered as one arm of a gravitational-wave detector. The signal induces a variation of the cavity length which is detected by sending a light field in the cavity. We focus on the active control of a single mirror of the cavity, namely, the end mirror. The mirror motion is measured by a second light beam interacting with a short cavity made of the mirror and a reference mirror. The result of the measurement is used to apply a correcting force on the mirror.

The ultimate sensitivity of a gravitational-wave interferometer is determined only by quantum noise of light. Classical noises such as seismic or thermal noises can in principle be eliminated and will not be considered in the following. Quantum fluctuations of field at frequency are described by the quantum annihilation operator , whereas the mean field is given by a complex amplitude normalized in such a way that corresponds to a photon flux. For a lossless single-ended cavity resonant with the laser field, the incident, intracavity, and output mean field amplitudes can be taken as real. It is then convenient to describe quantum fluctuations by the amplitude and phase quadratures corresponding to the real and imaginary parts of the operator.
\[ a_1 [\Omega] = a [\Omega] + a^\dagger [\Omega], \quad (1) \]
\[ a_2 [\Omega] = -i (a [\Omega] - a^\dagger [\Omega]), \quad (2) \]

Noise spectra of incident quadratures \( a_1^{in} \) and \( a_2^{in} \) for an incident coherent state are
\[ \sigma_{a_{1,a_1}} = \sigma_{a_{2,a_2}} = 1, \quad \sigma_{a_{1,a_2}} = 0, \quad (3) \]

where \( \sigma_{a_{i,a_j}} \) is defined as the quantum average of the symmetrized product of operators \( a_i^{in} \) and \( a_j^{in} \),
\[ \langle a_i^{in} [\Omega] \cdot a_j^{in} [\Omega'] \rangle = 2\pi \delta (\Omega + \Omega') \sigma_{a_{i,a_j}} [\Omega]. \quad (4) \]

For frequency \( \Omega \) smaller than the cavity bandwidth, the amplitude quadrature is left unchanged by the cavity \( (a_1^{out} = a_1^{in}) \), whereas the input-output phase shift is proportional to the variation \( X_m + X_{\text{sig}} \) of the cavity length \( (X_m \) is the displacement of mirror \( m \)).

\[ a_2^{out} = a_2^{in} + 2\xi_a (X_m + X_{\text{sig}}). \quad (5) \]

The optomechanical coupling parameter \( \xi_a \) is related to the intracavity mean field amplitude \( \alpha \), to the cavity fineness \( F_a \) and to the wavevector \( k_0 \) of the light,
\[ \xi_a = 2k_0\alpha \sqrt{2F_a/\pi}. \quad (6) \]

The interferometric measurement provides an estimator \( X_{\text{sig}} \) of the signal obtained by a normalization of the output phase \( a_2^{out} \) as a displacement [eq. (5)]. \( X_{\text{sig}} \) is the sum of the signal \( X_{\text{sig}} \) and extra noise terms,
\[ \tilde{X}_{\text{sig}} = \frac{1}{2\xi_a} a_2^{out} = X_{\text{sig}} + \frac{1}{2\xi_a} a_2^{in} + X_m. \quad (7) \]

The first noise term is related to the incident phase noise \( a_2^{in} \), and the second corresponds to unwanted variations of the cavity length through the motion of mirror \( m \).

The determination of the interferometer sensitivity thus requires one to know the motion of mirror \( m \). For a free interferometer (no control cavity and no feedback) the evolution of the velocity \( V_m = -i\Omega X_m \) is governed by the radiation pressure of the intracavity field \( a \) whose fluctuations can be expressed in terms of the incident amplitude quadrature \( a_1^{in} \),
\[ Z_m V_m = \hbar \xi_a a_1^{in}, \quad (8) \]
where \( Z_m \) is the mechanical impedance of mirror \( m \). In the frequency band relevant for a gravitational-wave interferometer, the suspended mirror can be considered as a free mass with a mechanical impedance \( Z_m \) related to the mirror mass \( M_m \) by
\[ Z_m \approx -i\Omega M_m. \quad (9) \]

The sensitivity of the measurement is described as an equivalent input noise \( \Sigma_{\text{sig}} \) equal to the spectrum of noises added in the estimator \( \tilde{X}_{\text{sig}} \) [eq. (7)]. For a coherent input light one gets
\[ \Sigma_{\text{sig}} = \frac{1}{4\xi_a^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 \xi_a^2}{|\Omega|^2 |Z_m|^2}. \quad (10) \]

The first term is a measurement error due to phase noise, and the second term corresponds to the mirror motion induced by radiation pressure. As shown in curve \( a \) of Fig. 2, phase noise is dominant at high frequency with a flat frequency dependence, whereas radiation pressure is dominant at low frequency with a \( 1/\Omega^4 \) dependence. To reach a good sensitivity at high frequency one has to choose a large optomechanical parameter \( \xi_a \), but the analysis bandwidth is reduced at low frequency by the increase of radiation pressure.

For a given optomechanical coupling \( \xi_a \) the sensitivity is optimized only at a frequency \( \Omega_{\text{SQL}} \) where contributions of both noises are equal. This optimization leads to the so-called standard quantum limit (SQL) \( \Sigma_{\text{SQL}} \) given by
\[ \Omega_{\text{SQL}}^2 = \frac{2\hbar \xi_a^2}{M_m}, \quad (11) \]
\[ \Sigma_{\text{SQL}} = \frac{\hbar}{M_m\Omega_{\text{SQL}}^2}. \quad (12) \]

\( \Sigma_{\text{SQL}} \) corresponds to the minimum noise reachable at a given frequency by a proper choice of the optomechanical coupling [curve \( d \) of Fig. 2].

The addition of a feedback loop allows one to suppress radiation-pressure effects by freezing the motion of mirror \( m \). The measurement with the control cavity, of course, has its own quantum limit which has to be taken into account in a quantum analysis of the controlled interferometer, as well as the motion of the reference mirror \( r \).
induced by the radiation pressure of beam \(b\). The control cavity indeed measures the position \(X_m\) of mirror \(m\) with respect to the position \(X_r\) of the reference mirror \(r\). The phase shift \(b^\text{out}_2\) at the output of cavity \(b\) is given by

$$b^\text{out}_2 = b^\text{in}_2 + 2\xi_b (X_r - X_m), \quad (13)$$

with an optomechanical parameter \(\xi_b\) for cavity \(b\) defined in the same way as \(\xi_a\) [eq. (9)].

The measurement of the output phase provides an estimator \(\hat{V}_m\) for the motion of mirror \(m\), proportional to the output quadrature \(b^\text{out}_2\) and normalized as a velocity. We choose a feedback force applied on mirror \(m\) proportional to this estimator [14],

$$F_{fb} = -Z_{fb} \hat{V}_m = -Z_{fb} \frac{i\Omega}{2\xi_b} b^\text{out}_2, \quad (14)$$

where \(Z_{fb}\) is the transfer function of the feedback loop.

The motion of mirror \(m\) now depends on radiation pressures from both cavities and on the feedback force, whereas the motion of mirror \(r\) depends only on the radiation pressure of field \(b\),

$$Z_m V_m = \hbar \xi_a a^\text{in}_1 - \hbar \xi_b b^\text{in}_1 + F_{fb}, \quad (15)$$
$$Z_r V_r = \hbar \xi_b b^\text{in}_1, \quad (16)$$

where \(Z_r\) is the mechanical impedance of mirror \(r\). The resulting motion of mirror \(m\) is obtained from Eqs. (13) to (15)

$$(Z_m + Z_{fb}) \hat{V}_m = \hbar \xi_a a^\text{in}_1 - \hbar \xi_b b^\text{in}_1 + Z_{fb} \left( V_r - \frac{i\Omega}{2\xi_b} b^\text{in}_2 \right). \quad (17)$$

Combined with Eqs. (17) and (15), one gets the estimator \(\hat{X}_{\text{sig}}\) for the interferometric measurement with feedback,

$$\hat{X}_{\text{sig}} = X_{\text{sig}} + \frac{1}{2\xi_a} a^\text{in}_2 + \frac{1}{2\xi_b} \frac{Z_{fb}}{Z_m + Z_{fb}} b^\text{in}_2 + \frac{i\hbar}{\Omega (Z_m + Z_{fb})} \left( \xi_a a^\text{in}_1 - \frac{Z_r - Z_{fb}}{Z_r \xi_b b^\text{in}_1} \right). \quad (18)$$

The first effect of the control is to change the dynamics of mirror \(m\) by adding a feedback-induced impedance \(Z_{fb}\) to the free mechanical impedance \(Z_m\) [compare left parts of eqs. (3) and (13)]. The influence of radiation-pressure effects due to the interferometer is modified both for the mirror velocity and the signal estimator. The associated noise [term proportional to quadrature \(a^\text{in}_1\) in eq. (18)] is reduced for a large feedback gain, without changing the phase noise (term proportional to quadrature \(b^\text{in}_2\)).

The second effect of the control is to add fluctuating forces to mirror \(m\). The second term in Eq. (17) corresponds to the radiation pressure of beam \(b\) acting on mirror \(m\). The last terms are associated with the presence of the feedback loop and correspond to noises in the control measurement, due to either the phase noise of beam \(b\) (term proportional to \(b^\text{in}_2\)) or the motion of the reference mirror \(r\). As a consequence, the estimator \(\hat{X}_{\text{sig}}\) exhibits additional fluctuations related to the incident quadratures \(b^\text{in}_1\) and \(b^\text{in}_2\) of beam \(b\).

We show now that it is possible to adapt both the optomechanical coupling \(\xi_b\) of the control cavity and the feedback gain \(Z_{fb}\) in order to obtain a large increase of the interferometer sensitivity. The optimization relies on a complete elimination of the radiation-pressure noise due to the interferometer.

The underlying mechanism can be understood in the limit of a very large feedback gain \((Z_{fb} \to \infty)\) in which case the error signal \(b^\text{out}_2\) of the feedback loop is reduced to zero. Apart from the phase noise of beam \(b\), the mirror \(m\) is then locked on the reference mirror \(r\) [see eq. (13)] and its residual motion no longer depends on radiation pressure in the interferometer. It is related only to error noises in the measurement by the control cavity.

The equivalent input noise of the interferometric measurement is obtained from Eq. (13),

$$\Sigma_{\text{sig}} = \frac{1}{4\xi_a^2} + \frac{1}{4\xi_b^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 \xi_b^2}{\Omega^2 |Z_r|^2}. \quad (19)$$

It only exhibits the phase noises of both beams and radiation-pressure effects on the reference mirror \(r\). The locking of mirror \(m\) leads to a transfer of quantum noise from the control measurement to the interferometric one. The two last terms in Eq. (19) indeed correspond to the equivalent input noise for the measurement by the control cavity [compare to eq. (10)] with \(\xi_a\) replaced by \(\xi_b\) and \(Z_m\) by \(Z_r\).

This quantum transfer is shown in curve b of Fig. 2 obtained with a reference mirror of same mass as the mirror \(m\) \((Z_r = Z_m\)) and with an optomechanical parameter \(\xi_b\) equal to \(\xi_a/5\). At low frequency where radiation-pressure noise is dominant, the mirror \(m\) reproduces the motion of the reference mirror induced by radiation pressure of beam \(b\). Since the control measurement is less sensitive \((\xi_a < \xi_b)\), radiation-pressure effects of beam \(b\) are smaller than the ones of beam \(a\) and the transfer of quantum noise leads to a clear reduction of noise. As compared to the free interferometer case (curve a), the equivalent input noise \(\Sigma_{\text{sig}}\) is reduced at low frequency by a factor \((\xi_b/\xi_a)^2\) equal to 1/25 in Fig. 2 and which can be freely adjusted by changing the intensity of beam \(b\).

For an infinite feedback gain this behavior is offset by the phase noise at high frequency which is increased by the reverse factor. The frequency dependence of the noise is thus similar to the free interferometer case except that it is displaced along the standard quantum limit.

The loss of sensitivity at high frequency can easily be avoided by using a frequency dependent feedback gain. For a finite gain the equivalent input noise is given by

$$\Sigma_{\text{sig}} = \frac{1}{4\xi_a^2} + \frac{Z_{fb}}{Z_m + Z_{fb}} \frac{1}{4\xi_b^2}.$$
\[ Z_{\text{fb}}^{\text{opt}} = Z_m \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_a^2}{2\xi_b^2} \right) \frac{1}{1 + (\Omega/\Omega_{\text{fb}})^2} \]  

\[ \Omega_{\text{fb}}^2 = \sqrt{2\frac{2\hbar\xi_b^2}{M_m}}. \]  

The feedback gain \( Z_{\text{fb}} \) can be adapted in such a way that the control is active at low frequency, whereas it plays no significant role at high frequency. It is then possible to have an important reduction of radiation-pressure effects by quantum transfer without losing the high sensitivity of the interferometric measurement at frequencies where it is limited by phase noise. Focusing on the case of two mirrors of equal masses \( (Z_e = Z_m) \), one can derive the optimum feedback gain \( Z_{\text{fb}}^{\text{opt}} \) which gives the minimum noise at every frequency,

\[ Z_{\text{opt}}^{\text{fb}} = \frac{h^2}{\Omega^2 \left| Z_m + Z_{\text{th}} \right|^2} \left( \xi_a^2 + \left| \frac{Z_t - Z_{\text{th}}}{Z_t} \right|^2 \xi_b^2 \right) \]  

The resulting noise \( \Sigma_{\text{opt}} \) is shown in curve c of Fig. 2. It exhibits a very clear reduction of radiation pressure effects while the limitation by phase noise is identical to the case of a free interferometer. The controlled interferometer is actually equivalent to a free interferometer with a frequency dependent optomechanical coupling equal to \( \xi_a \) at high frequency and to the much smaller value \( \xi_b \) at low frequency. It also compares with a free interferometer whose mirror mass is increased by a factor \( (\xi_a/\xi_b)^2 \) that is 25 times the weight in the case of Fig. 2.

In conclusion, a local control of mirror motion by an optomechanical sensor and a feedback loop allows one to efficiently reduce the radiation-pressure effects in an interferometric measurement. The low frequency sensitivity is improved without alteration in the high frequency domain, thus increasing the interferometer bandwidth. This result shows that active control is a powerful technique to reduce quantum noise. As compared to other methods, an essential characteristic of this control is to be decoupled from other optimizations of the interferometer. Its local implementation does not induce any additional constraint on the interferometer parameters.